

Document Readers



**Awards
Working**

EPA Funding Recommendation

Title: Cherokee Air Toxics FY'05

Document Status

Document Phase: Final

Last Modified: 04/04/2006

Current Editor: Aunjane Gautreaux

Previous Editor: Carl Edlund

Delegate: Tenna Scott

Section A - Project Information

1. Grant Number: 96619701-0	2. Grant Type: Non-Construction
3. Agreement Type: Cooperative Agreement	4. Awarding Region: EPA R6
4a. AAShip: R6 - Region 6	
4b. Approval Office Division: Multimedia Planning & Permitting Division	
5. Record Type: N	
6. Applicant Type: Indian Tribe	
7. Applicant Name: IT404 - Cherokee Nation	
8. DUNS: 061630554	
9. Project Title: Community Air Toxics	
10. Amount Requested: \$165,000	
11. Program Code: XA - Surveys-Studies-Investigations-Demonstrations and Special Purpose Activities relating to the Clean Air Act	
12. CFDA: 66.034 - Surveys-Studies-Investigations-Demonstrations and Special Purpose Activities relating to the Clean Air Act	
13. Statutory Auth: Clean Air Act: Sec. 103	
14. Delegation of Auth: 7-11 Grants and Cooperative Agreements for Investigations, Demonstrations, Surveys, and Studies 103(b)(3)	
15. Media: Air	
16. Project Period Start: 06/01/2006	17. Project Period End: 05/31/2008
18. Budget Period Start: 06/01/2006	19. Budget Period End: 05/31/2008

Please provide a description of the work to be accomplished in this project.

20. Project Description: The purpose of this cooperative agreement is to monitor for toxics in ambient air to determine the amounts and types of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) in the Cherokee Heights tribal community near Pryor in Mayes County, Oklahoma.

Section B - Project Justification and Characteristics

1. Please describe the project objectives and how the project relates to the statutory authority.

This is a community scale monitoring project to monitor for toxics in ambient air to determine the amounts and types of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) in the Cherokee Heights tribal community near Pryor in Mayes County, Oklahoma. Data will also be reported to the Air Quality Systems (AQS) database and used to determine potential community health impacts from toxic air pollution sources in an effort to provide for community education and awareness of the pollutants present. In addition, the project will fill a data gap in Oklahoma.

2. Select the appropriate competitive status for this agreement.

Competitive assistance agreement resulting from a competitive funding announcement issued after January 1 2005

2a. Please list the Program Results Code(s) (PRCs) that will be used to fund this project. If more than one PRC is used, list the amount allocated to each in the space provided.

PRC	Amount	Program/Project
101A04E	165,000	04 - Categorical Grants: State & Local Air Quality Management

Total Amount: 165,000

This project supports the following Goals, Objectives, and Sub-Objectives.

Goal	Objective	Sub-Objective
1 - Clean Air and Global Climate Change	1.1 - Healthier Outdoor Air	1.1.2 - Reduced Risk from Toxic Air Pollutants

2b. Describe how the Program/Project fits within the Agency's Strategic Plan/GPRA architecture.

This project supports Goal 1 (Clean Air and Global Climate Change), Objective 1 (Healthier Outdoor Air), Sub-objectives 1 (More People Breathing Cleaner Air) and 2 (Reduced Risk from Toxic Air Pollutants). Specifically, the recipient will monitor for toxics in ambient air to determine the amounts and types of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) in the Cherokee Heights tribal community near Pryor in Mayes County, Oklahoma. In addition, this project will fill a data gap.

2c. The PRCs assigned to the funding for this assistance agreement are consistent with these strategic goals/objectives/sub-objectives. The Multimedia Planning & Permitting Division, in Region 6 has reviewed the work plan for this agreement and determined that it contains well-defined outputs, and to the maximum extent practicable, well-defined outcomes.

3. Is this a Research Grant? No

4. Please explain any apparent duplicate or excessive efforts identified with this project (if applicable).

N/A

5. Could an invention be one of the results of this project? No

6. Does this agreement comply with the FGCAA? Yes

a. Enter or attach justification. Please See EPA Order 5700.1 entitled, "Policy for Distinguishing Between Assistance and Acquisition". EPA will not award a grant unless the decision to fund an assistance agreement is based upon criteria stated in the Order.

The cooperative agreement (CA) provides financial assistance to the Cherokee Nation to support the accomplishments of a public purpose. There is no direct benefit to EPA. The Cherokee Nation will implement a community scale air toxics monitoring project in the Cherokee Heights tribal community near Pryor in Mayes County, Oklahoma to determine the impacts. This assistance agreement is a CA because it requires substantial EPA involvement.

7. Based on a cost review analysis, all costs are necessary and reasonable in accordance with the Cost Review Guidance (GPI-00-05)" Yes

a. Enter the Cost Review Analysis.



Cost List (96619701-0).doc

8. Is this grant a Congressional earmark? No

9. Is this a small grant as defined by the Small Grant Policy? If so, it must be fully funded. No

10. Quality Assurance: Does this program or project include generation of environmental data, or use of existing environmental data? Yes

a. Are the proposed measurement activities covered by an existing or draft Quality Management Plan (QMP), or Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)? Yes

(For questions on document(s) required or a document's status, contact your organization's Quality Assurance Manager.)

i. Are the QA Plans or QA documents required for this grant approved? Yes

a) Are there new or additional environmental measurement activities anticipated which are not covered by the existing approved QMP or QAPP? No

12. Is this agreement funded with funds from more than one appropriation? No

13. Does this project include preaward costs? No

14. Does the project involve human subjects? No

15. Does the project involve animal subjects? No

16. Does the proposal include projects which will be performed entirely or in part outside of the United States? No

17. Does the scope of the work involve conducting any conferences or workshops? No

18. Is this a cooperative agreement which will include the survey or collection of No

identical information from 10 or more persons, or a grant which will include the survey or collection of identical information from 10 or more persons and under which EPA influenced the design, development or implementation of the survey? (See Grants Policy Issuance 99-1, Information Collection Requirements)

19. Will the award result in the development of any copyrighted software or written materials? No

20. Does the grant involve or relate to geospatial information? No

Geospatial information includes: "Information that identifies the geographic location and characteristics of natural or constructed features or boundaries on the Earth, or applications, tools, and hardware associated with the generation, maintenance, or distribution of such information. The information may be derived from, among other things, GPS, remote sensing, mapping, charting, and surveying technologies, or statistical data."

22. Project Justification Attachments:

Section C - Competition - EPA Order 5700.5

For competitive awards, does the award result from an announcement/solicitation issued before January 15, 2005? No

For non-competitive awards, does the award result from a funding recommendation submitted to a grants management office before January 15, 2005?

Competition Section for Awards Subject to the 2005 Competition Policy

1.a. Was the application/proposal selected through a competitive process in accordance with the Competition Policy? Yes

2. Include an attachment documenting the rationale and basis for the selection of the recipient for an award under the competition as required by Sections 9.f and 19.a of the Competition Policy.



Selection Document RFA OAR EMAD 05-16.doc

3. What type of competitive process was used? Open Competition

4. Announcement Number (or other identifier for the announcement): OAR-EMAD-05-16

5. Date the announcement was released, posted, or issued: 06/22/2005

Competition Code: C

Section D - Project Funding

Approved Budget	
Cost Category Classification (Nonconstruction)	Approved Allowable Budget Period Cost
1. Personnel	\$31,769
2. Fringe Benefits	\$11,078
3. Travel	\$9,011
4. Equipment	\$6,995
5. Supplies	\$3,400
6. Contractual	\$77,000
7. Construction	\$0
8. Other	\$13,600
9. Total Direct Costs	\$152,853
10. Indirect Costs	\$12,147
IDC Rate 17.64% Base \$68,858	
11. Total	\$165,000
12. Total Requested Amount	\$165,000
13. Total Request To Fund Amt This Action	\$165,000

Section E - Project Funding Information

1. Does the funding for this action include any EPA In-Kind Contribution?	No
2. Is the funding Partial (Incremental) or Full?	Full
3. Is this project expected to generate program income?	No
4. Please provide recommendation for disposition of the equipment at project's end. (Equip > 0)	Title to all equipment, whether purchased by the recipient or EPA, is vested with the recipient.
(See 40 CFR 30.34 or 31.32, as appropriate and Grants Policy Issuance 02-02.)	
5. Is the recipient providing cost sharing under this agreement?	No
6. Does this action include approval to carry forward obligated funds from prior years?	No
7. Does this Funding Recommendation document require the SRO signature?	No

Section F - Programmatic Special Conditions

Programmatic Conditions

1. Quarterly Progress Reports. The recipient agrees to submit quarterly progress reports to the EPA Project Officer within 30 days after the end of each reporting period. The reporting periods begin at the project start date, or, for subsequent reporting periods, on the quarterly anniversary of the start date. The reports should generally not exceed five 8 ½" X 11" pages and shall provide the information requested below.

A. Brief statements covering work status, work progress, preliminary data results, and evaluations made during the reporting period, including a comparison of actual accomplishments with the goals and objectives for the period. Address difficulties encountered (or might encounter) in carrying out this project and remedial actions (to be) taken. If the aims of the project have not changed from the original application, state this. If these have been modified, provide the revised aims and discuss the reason for the modification.

B. A discussion of any absence or changes of key personnel involved in the project.

C. A discussion of expenditures to date along with a comparison of the percentage of the project completed to the project schedule, and an explanation of any costs which are higher than originally estimated. Revised budget information will be required under this agreement if any significant changes in the size or scope of the project or in the originally-negotiated total estimated costs are anticipated for the project period.

D. Statements addressing how the quality assurance requirements of 40 C.F.R. 30.54 and the agreement are being met, especially focusing on the assurance of data quality relevant to environmental measurements and data generation.

E. Results to date, emphasizing findings and their significance to the field, their relationship to the general goals of the award, and their potential practical applications.

F. Planned activity for the subsequent reporting period, including a description of equipment, techniques, and materials to be used or evaluated.

G. Publications arising from this project. Copies of publications and reprints which have not previously been submitted to the Agency should be enclosed with the report.

2. Final Report. The recipient agrees to submit a final report to the EPA Project Officer by 90 calendar

days after the expiration of the project period. The Project Officer may require clarifications of the final report before the report is considered acceptable. Although there are no page restrictions on the final report, EPA does not expect a final report of great length. However, this document shall include a discussion of:

A. Project activities over the entire period of funding, describing the recipient's achievements with respect to the stated project purposes and objectives.

B. The complete details of all technical aspects of the project--both negative and positive--the recipient's findings, conclusions, and results, including the associated quality assurance results.

C. An evaluation of (a) the technical effectiveness and economic feasibility of the methods or techniques investigated or demonstrated, if applicable, and/or (b) an explanation of how the project adds to our understanding of / solutions for environmental problems, or is otherwise of benefit to the environment and human health. This discussion should be a minimum of one paragraph long and written in terms understandable by the educated layman.

3. Form of Reports. The recipient agrees to provide quarterly and final reports electronically using commonly available word processing software (e.g., Word®) or PDF format.

4. Quality Assurance, Data Management, and Results Availability.

A. Quality Assurance. The recipient agrees to comply with the requirements of ANSI/ASQC E4, "Specifications and Guidelines for Quality Systems for Environmental Data Collection and Environmental Technology Programs." EPA requirements (R-series) and guidance (G-series) documents address in detail how to comply with ANSI/ASQC E4 (particularly pertinent are R-5, "EPA Requirements for Quality Assurance Project Plans," and G-4, "Guidance for the Data Quality Objectives Process"). Referenced R- and G-series EPA documents are available at: http://www.epa.gov/quality1/qa_docs.html.

B. Data Management and Results Availability. The recipient agrees to ensure all quality assured ambient air data arising from the awarded project are successfully uploaded to the EPA Air Quality System (<http://www.epa.gov/ttn/airs/airsaqs/>) NLT 120 days after the end of each data collection period. The data collection periods begin as of the first date of ambient air monitoring, or, for subsequent reporting periods, on the quarterly anniversary of the start date. Data arising from other than ambient air monitoring will be submitted to the EPA Project Officer on the same schedule using commonly available spreadsheet software (e.g., Excel®).

5. Meeting Attendance. As noted in the original RFA, the recipient agrees to include in the budget funds to present the project results at the Air Toxics Data Analysis Workshop designated by the EPA (U.S. location to be determined); a maximum of two project representatives is authorized; the workshop duration will be up to three days, exclusive of travel time.

6. Other recipient responsibilities.

A. 40 C.F.R. 30.25 (f) allows the recipient to grant itself a one-time extension to the project period under certain conditions; for any such extension of the expiration date the recipient is required to notify the EPA Award Official and Project Officer in writing, with the supporting reasons and revised expiration date, at last 10 days before the expiration date specified in the award.

B. Prior written approval is required from EPA if there is to be a significant project change. Examples of these changes are contained in 40 C.F.R. 30.25.

7. Substantial Involvement. Project management will be closely monitored by EPA representatives throughout the assistance agreement's project and budget period. Effective execution of the scope of work involves a jointly supported strong ongoing collaboration between the recipient and EPA.

Technical assistance and cooperation will be routine. EPA and the recipient will maintain a continuous dialogue for the rapid identification, solution, and escalation of problems to top level managers.

Section G - Project Officer Approval

I have reviewed and approved the workplan/scope of work and budget for Yes
this project and I recommend the Award.

Address: 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200

City: Dallas

State: TX

Zip: 75202-2733

Section H - Review & Approvals

Read Access: Controlled

Readers: Aunjane Gautreaux
Tenna Scott
Diane Henderson

Submitted:

Concurrent Approvers: Donna Ascenzi
Donald Johnson
Charles Ritchey
Tenna Scott

Submitted: 03/24/2006

Due Date: 03/28/2006

Concurrent Approval Responses

Response	Concurrence By	Date
Approve	Charles Ritchey	03/24/2006
Approve	Donald Johnson	03/24/2006
Approve	Donna Ascenzi	03/29/2006
Approve	Tenna Scott	03/29/2006

Sequential
Approvers:

Submitted:

Due Date:

Sequential Approval Responses

Response	Concurrence By	Date

Section I - Commitment Notices

Cherokee Air Toxics FY'05

\$165,000

Final

Section J - Commitment Clerk

Funding Regions: EPA R6

Commit Clerk: Aunjane Gautreaux

Funding Region: EPA R6

Section K - Signatures

AA/RA Internal Review Certification

Applicable for this Yes

Award:

Certification Date: 05/12/2005

Certification Competition was managed by headquarters.

Attachment:



HQ Discretionary Cert (OAR-EMAD-05-16).pdf

Approval Official Signature**Approval Official:** Carl Edlund**Title:** Director Multimedia Planning
and Permitting Division (6PD)**Phone:** 214-665-8124**Delegate:** Rebecca Weber**Title:** Associate Director for Air**Phone:** 214-665-6680

Approval Official Signature: - Signed by Rebecca Weber/R6/USEPA/US on 04/04/2006 09:14:34 AM, according to /USEPA/US

Approval: Signed**Date:** 04/04/2006**Notes:****Attachment:****Section L - Grant Specialist****Grant Specialist:** Diane Henderson**Title:** Grants Assistant**Phone:** 214-665-7583**Submitted:** 04/04/2006**Section M - Funding Recommendations Attachments****Attachments:** QA Certification

QA Certification Form.wpd

Section N - Origination Information**Created By:** Aunjanee Gautreaux on 02/23/2006**Organization:** EPA R6**Section O - Notifications History**

03/07/2006 - Delegate - Tenna Scott

03/24/2006 - Approvers - Donna Ascenzi, Donald Johnson, Charles Ritchey, Tenna Scott

03/29/2006 - AO Signature - Carl Edlund, Rebecca Weber

04/04/2006 - Final - Aunjanee Gautreaux, Tenna Scott

04/04/2006 - Notify Grant Specialist - Diane Henderson

PROJECT OFFICER COST ANALYSIS/BUDGET REVIEW CHECKLIST

Project Officer: Aunjane' E. Gautreaux
Date of Cost Review: 3/07/06
Grant Number: XA-96619701-0
Grantee: Cherokee Nation
Project Period: Start Date: 06/01/06 End Date: 05/31/08

(Includes cumulative values per category)

PERSONNEL:

Personnel costs are those costs for labor effort directly related to the grant program/project.

		Y	N	NA
1.	Was a comparison done of the proposed budget and the narrative work plan to determine whether the budget is reasonable? <i>(The proposed effort should be consistent with the effort required by the work plan).</i>	X		
2.	Was a examination done on the staffing requirements for the project?	X		
3.	Are the personnel appropriate (the right mix) to meet the project objectives? <i>(Consider: Labor mix should be consistent with the caliber of effort – professional/clerical– required by the grant work plan.)</i>	X		
4.	Was the personnel budget category reviewed to determine if salary ranges are reasonable? <i>(Generally, the conversion of annual salaries into hourly rates is accomplished by dividing the annual salary by 2,080 hours assuming an eight hour work day).</i>	X		

Personnel Costs totaling \$31,769 are Accepted

FRINGE BENEFITS:

Fringe benefit costs are those costs for personnel employment other than the employees' direct income (i.e., employer's portion of FICA insurance, retirement, sick leave, holiday pay, and vacation costs) paid by the assistance applicant.

		Y	N	NA
1.	Does the applicant include fringe benefits?	X		

Fringe Benefit Costs totaling \$11,078 are Accepted

TRAVEL:

Travel and per diem costs are those costs for travel and subsistence which are directly related to the grant. (Consider: The types of trip to be made, the number of trips, the cost per trip [per mile for local travel] and the rate of per diem allowance).

	Y	N	NA
1. Is destination and the number of trips planned necessary to complete the scope of work outlined in the work plan?	X		
2. Is the number of travelers consistent with the proposed trips?	X		
3. Does the applicant provide a breakdown of the proposed travel costs?	X		

Travel costs totaling \$9,011 are Accepted

EQUIPMENT:

Equipment costs are those costs directly related to the grant that exceed a total cost of \$5,000 per unit and a useful life of more than one year. These costs must be itemized in the budget/work plan.

	Y	N	NA
1. Does the project's objectives support equipment purchases. (Consider: Is the equipment intended to be used to solely support the program/project to which it is to be funded or is it intended to support more than one program/project?) Should other options be considered? (Consider: Would it be economical to rent the item than to purchase it? C)Have we authorized this same equipment for this program in the past years?)	X		
2. Is the term and condition for disposition needed on the award? (If you approve the purchase of equipment, does EPA want the right to retain title at the end of the project or will the equipment be retained by the assistance applicant?)	X		

Equipment Costs totaling \$6,995 are Accepted

SUPPLIES:

Supply costs are those costs directly related to the grant.

	Y	N	NA
1. Is the amount budgeted for supplies reasonable	X		

Supply Costs totaling \$3,400 are Accepted

CONTRACTUAL:

Contractual services are those services directly related to the EPA program/project.

	Y	N	NA
1. Do the proposed contractual cost appear necessary to carry out the objectives of the project/program? [Consider: a) the cost and profit summary or price comparison summary of each contract, b) the hourly/daily rate and the number of hours/days proposed for consultant service].	X		

Contractual costs totaling \$77,000 are Accepted

OTHER COSTS:

Budget costs, not specified on the standard form but are legitimately related to a proposed project. For example, printing and reproduction costs, postage, conference costs and any other activity that might be supported by the grant.

	Y	N	NA
1. Are these costs consistent and necessary to complete the approved work plan?	X		
2. Are the proposed costs reasonable?	X		

Other Costs totaling \$13,600 are Acceptable

FINAL RESULTS

- A. Are the budget(s) and costs accepted as proposed by the applicant? YES **X** NO ___
- B. Questioned Costs: If so, what costs need to be clarified and/or revised? No revision or clarification needed.

This action is to award direct funds of \$165,000.

Aunjanee' E. Gautreaux March 7, 2006
Aunjanee' E. Gautreaux Date
Project Officer
6PD-Q
(214) 665-7127

OAR-EMAD-05-16, "Local-Scale Air Toxics Ambient Monitoring" - Rationale and Basis for Selection Recommendations

Summary of the Competition:

The subject Request For Applications (RFA), issued on June 22, 2005, was posted at http://www.epa.gov/air/grants_funding.html#0516 and <http://www.fedgrants.gov/>. Prospective eligible applicants were notified of this competitive grant opportunity via STAPPA/ALAPCO and Tribal distribution lists, as well as through EPA Regional Air Program Managers. As of the submission deadline (4PM EDT on August 22, 2005), 59 applications were received of which 53 were initially deemed eligible. The 53 eligible proposals were reviewed, scored and ranked by an independent panel comprised of EPA staff from OAQPS, OTAQ, ORD, and each of the lead EPA Regional Air Programs. In addition to the formal review panel, each Regional Office was offered the opportunity to review and comment on those proposals submitted by their constituent S/L/T's in terms of how they correspond with perceived needs, concerns, and priorities from the Regional perspective, as well as any general impressions / opinions that the owning Region wished to offer for consideration by the panel. With the exception of one panelist who recused herself from evaluating a particular proposal for which she was consulted by the applicant, each panelist was impartial and could perform an independent assessment of the qualifications of the organizations that submitted proposals. The proposals, evaluation forms, supplemental information sheets and instructions were distributed to the panel on August 26, 2005. The panel was originally scheduled to convene via 3 hour daily conference calls the week of September 19, 2005; however, due to several reviewers' involvement in both the Hurricane Katrina aftermath ambient air monitoring and the Air Toxics Data Analysis Workshop the week of September 26, deliberations were postponed until the October 11-14, 2005. Panel members submitted their scores to the panel chair who compiled all scores, placed them in rank order, and distributed the scoring results to the panel members prior to convening the first session. The decision was made to discuss each proposal in descending rank order until approximately 90% of the available funds were allocated at which point "geographic dispersion" (see RFA Section V.B.3, "Other Factors") became a consideration in proposal discussion order. Panel deliberations resulted in 19 proposals recommended for award consideration by the Regional Offices. Following panel recommendations, one applicant was determined to be ineligible by cognizant Regional counsel; during discussions with OAQPS senior management regarding the panel recommendations and applicant ineligibility determination, an additional Bin 4 (Community-Scale Monitoring) proposal was moved to not recommended based on excessively high "pass through" funds / minimal capacity building, and two other proposals from the panel's not recommended group were moved to the final recommended group based on notable capacity building, leveraging, and significant local air toxics sources / pronounced NATA risk.

Discussion of Recommendations / Decision Rationale: See attached.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAY 12 2005

OFFICE OF
AIR AND RADIATION

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Certification for Competitive Funding Announcement OAR-EMAD-05-16

FROM: Jeffrey R. Holmstead
Assistant Administrator

TO: David J. O'Connor, Deputy Assistant Administrator
Administration and Resources Management

As required by Administrator Stephen L. Johnson's April 5, 2005 memorandum entitled, "Review and Announcement of Discretionary Grants," with respect to the proposed competitive funding announcement for "Local-Scale Air Toxics Ambient Monitoring" (Announcement Number OAR-EMAD-05-16, Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 66.034), I hereby certify that:

1. The expected outcomes from the awards under this proposed announcement are appropriate and in support of program goals; and
2. The proposed announcement is written in a manner to promote competition to the maximum extent practicable.

**EPA REGION 6 QUALITY ASSURANCE CERTIFICATION
FOR EXTRAMURAL AGREEMENTS**

XA-96619701-0
Grant/IAG/Contract Number

Cherokee Nation
Recipient

Community Scale Air Toxics Monitoring -
CAA §103 Grant
Agreement Description

\$165,000 (06/01/06 - 5/31/08)
Budget & Agreement Period

QA MANAGER'S CERTIFICATION

- () I, the undersigned, certify that the requirements under this extramural agreement do not include any activity that involves the use of environmentally related measurements and related decisions. Therefore, an exemption is granted from EPA quality assurance requirements.
- (X) I, the undersigned, certify that an approved Quality Management Plan (QMP) compliant with ANSI/ASQC E-4 and/or EPA QA/R-2 currently exists and is on file with the EPA Region 6 Regional Quality Assurance Manager as identified by QTRAK number 05-334 (expires 6/23/06). *This block requires completion of below certification and assigned QTRAK number for the QA Project Plan/s under the subject extramural agreement.*

RECOMMENDATION

/s/
QA Cert. Project Officer
Donna Ascenzi
Printed Name
6PD-Q, X2725 3/20/06
Mailcode, Ext & Date

DQAO APPROVAL

/s/
Divisional QA Officer
Charles Ritchey
Printed Name
6PD, X8350 3/21/06
Mailcode, Ext & Date

RQAM APPROVAL

/s/
Reg. 6 QA Manager
Don Johnson
Printed Name
6MD, X8343 3/21/06
Mailcode, Ext & Date

PROJECT OFFICER'S CERTIFICATION

- (X) I, the undersigned EPA Project Officer having completed the EPA QA Certification Course requirement and being officially recognized to oversee this/these project/s, certify that each approved Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) is compliant with EPA QA/R-5, is on file with the appropriate program office, and is registered with the Regional QA Manager as identified by QTRAK number/s 06-147 (QAPP for Community Air Toxics), expires 1/31/07.
- () I, the undersigned EPA Project Officer certify that the Quality Assurance Project Plan/s (QAPP/s) is/are required for completion of the referenced extramural agreement and will be developed according to the attached funding restriction and that no such activities will be conducted until the QAPP which covers the activities has been approved, accordingly. The following QTRAK numbers are assigned for tracking purposes:

/s/
QA Cert. Project Officer
Donna Ascenzi, 6PD-Q, X2725 3/20/06
Printed Name, Mailcode, Ext. & Date

Document Readers



**Awards
Working**

Commitment Notice

Title: Cherokee Air Toxics FY'05

Document Status

Document Phase: Final
Current Editor: Aunjane Gautreaux
Delegate: Tenna Scott

Last Modified: 04/01/2006
Previous Editor: Donnie Hazle

Commitment Notice Information

Grant Number: 96619701-0
Approved Ceiling: \$165,000
Commitment Amt: \$165,000
Commitment Type: Original Commitment
Agreement Type: Cooperative Agreement
Applicant Name: IT404 - Cherokee Nation
DUNS: 061630554
Program Code: XA - Surveys-Studies-Investigations-Demonstrations and Special Purpose Activities relating to the Clean Air Act
Employer EIN: 73-0757033
Awarding Region: EPA R6
Responsibility Ctr:
Allowance Holder:

Is Contract Negotiator Allowed to exceed amount shown above by up to 10% without securing further approval for:

Allowed to Exceed: No

Description Of Project, Goods, or Services

Description: The purpose of this cooperative agreement is to monitor for toxics in ambient air to determine the amounts and types of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) in the Cherokee Heights tribal community near Pryor in Mayes County, Oklahoma.

Task, Roap, or Other Local Identifier:

Identifier:

Special Comments and Instructions:

Comments:

Commitment Notice Document Attachments

Attachments:

Fiscal Information

Line	Site Name	DCN	Fiscal Yr	Approp Code	BO Code	PRC	Object Class	Amount	Site Project	Cost Org Code
1	-	JQR007	2006	E1C	06JT	101A04E	4183	165,000	-	-
								165,000		

Review

Read Access: Controlled

Readers: Aunjane Gautreaux
Tenna Scott

Submitted:

Approvers: Donna Ascenzi, Tenna Scott,
Rebecca Weber

Submitted: 03/24/2006

Due Date: 03/28/2006

Response	Approver	Date
Approve	Rebecca Weber	03/27/2006

Approve: Tenna Scott
Approve: Donna Ascenzi

03/28/2006
03/29/2006

Fund Certifying Official Signature

Fund Certif Official: Donnie Hazle

Title: ADCR, Multimedia Planning
and Permitting Division

Phone: 214-665-7105

Fund Certifying Official Signature: - Signed by Donnie Hazle/R6/USEPA/US on 03/30/2006 06:23:13 PM, according to /USEPA/US

Approval: Signed

Date: 03/30/2006

Notes: FUNDED IN IFMS 3-20-06;DMH
SEE REQL SCREEN ATTACHED BY SIGNATURE.

Attachment:



Print

STATUS: ACCPT
01-
DOCID: RQ AP06 0606JQR007 03/30/06 07:20:26 PM
BATID: ORG: 001-001 OF 001

LINE: 001
BUDGET ORG: 06JT
BOC: 4183
AMOUNT: 165,000.00
DESCRIPTION: 96619701-0;CHEROKEE NATION-OK
BUDGET FYS: 06
COST ORG:
SITE/PROJ:
I/D:
APPR: ETC
PE: 101A04E
RPTG CATG:

02-
LINE:
BUDGET ORG:
BOC:
AMOUNT:
DESCRIPTION:
BUDGET FYS:
COST ORG:
SITE/PROJ:
I/D:
APPR:
PE:
RPTG CATG:

03-
LINE:
BUDGET ORG:
BOC:
AMOUNT:
DESCRIPTION:
BUDGET FYS:
COST ORG:
SITE/PROJ:
I/D:
APPR:
PE:
RPTG CATG:

Project Officer
 Approving Region: EPA R6
 Project Officer: Aunjane Gautreaux
 PO Phone: 214-665-7127

Funding Recommendation

Cherokee Air Toxics FY05	\$165,000	Final
--------------------------	-----------	-------

Origination Information
 Created By: Aunjane Gautreaux on 02/23/2006
 Organization: EPA R6

Notifications History
 03/24/2006 - Approvers - Donna Ascenzi, Tenna Scott, Rebecca Weber
 03/28/2006 - Delegate - Tenna Scott
 03/29/2006 - Signature - Donnie Hazle
 03/30/2006 - Final - Aunjane Gautreaux, Tenna Scott, Diane Henderson, Aunjane Gautreaux